

## Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 BONN 04187 01 OF 02 121427Z

42

ACTION SS-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00 /026 W  
----- 109925

O R 121418Z MAR 76  
FM AMEMBASSY BONN  
TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 7177  
INFO AMEMBASSY ISLAMABAD  
AMEMBASSY OTTAWA  
AMEMBASSY PARIS  
AMEMBASSY TEHRAN

S E C R E T SECTION 01 OF 02 BONN 04187

EXDIS

E.O. 11652: XGDS-3

TAGS: PARM, TECH, PK, GW

SUBJECT: PAKISTANI NUCLEAR FACILITIES

REFS: A. STATE 059654; B. STATE 038095; C. BONN 02879

1. SUMMARY: SINCE FOREIGN MINISTER GENSCHER IS COMPLETELY OCCUPIED TODAY WITH THE BUNDESRAT PROCEEDINGS ON THE POLISH AGREEMENT AND THEIR AFTERMATH, AND WILL BE ENGAGING IN OTHER POLITICAL ACTIVITIES OVER THE WEEKEND, I SAW STATE SECRETARY HERMES OF THE FOREIGN MINISTRY TODAY TO DELIVER THE SECRETARY'S LETTER AND TO MAKE THE ORAL POINTS CONTAINED IN PARAGRAPH TWO OF REF A. HERMES SAID HE WOULD BRING THE LETTER AND MY COMMENTS TO GENSCHER'S ATTENTION AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. HE EXPLAINED FRG VIEWS OF ITS OBLIGATIONS UNDER SUPPLIERS' GUIDELINES AND WONDERED WHETHER SECRETARY'S LETTER MIGHT NOT CAUSE SOME STATES TO QUESTION WHETHER USG STILL CONSIDERED GUIDELINES AN ADEQUATE REFLECTION OF THE EXPLICIT OBLIGATIONS OF SUPPLIER STATES. I DENIED THAT US ACTION WAS INTENDED TO WEAKEN SUPPLIERS' CONSENSUS, EMPHASIZED SERIOUSNESS WITH WHICH USG VIEWS PROLIFERATION PROBLEM AND DISCUSSED THE PAKISTAN CASE

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 BONN 04187 01 OF 02 121427Z

AS A CONCRETE EXAMPLE OF THE NEED FOR RESTRAINT IN THE

EXPORT OF SENSITIVE NUCLEAR TECHNOLOGIES. HERMES  
REMAINED NONCOMMITTAL. END SUMMARY.

2. AFTER MY PRESENTATION OF SUBSTANCE PARAS 2 AND 3,  
REF A, DURING WHICH I GAVE HIM A COPY OF THE SECRETARY'S  
MARCH 9 TESTIMONY, HERMES REMARKED THAT THE FRG HAD  
RECEIVED SOME ATTENTION IN THE HEARINGS AS A NUCLEAR  
EXPORTING STATE WHICH SHOULD BE PRESSURED BY USG.  
HERMES APPRECIATED THE SECRETARY'S RESPONSE ON THIS  
POINT. HERMES FELT THAT THESE HEARINGS HAD GIVEN TOO  
LITTLE ATTENTION TO AN IMPORTANT POINT, I.E. THAT THE  
SUPPLIER STATES HAVE UNILATERALLY IMPLEMENTED GUIDE-  
LINES WHICH ARE EXPRESSION OF COMMON POLICY. FRG HAS  
ACCEPTED THESE OBLIGATIONS AND WILL ABIDE BY THESE  
PROCEDURES. FRG HAD CONSULTED USG AS REQUIRED AND WAS  
EVEN PREPARED TO GO BEYOND GUIDELINES REQUIREMENT AND  
SEEK A TRILATERAL AGREEMENT WITH PAKISTAN AND THE IAEA.  
HERMES ASKED ME TO REPORT THESE VIEWS AND TO ASK: "IF  
THESE GUIDELINES PROCEDURES ARE NOT RELEVANT, WHAT  
SIGNIFICANCE DO THEY HAVE?"

3. HERMES CONTINUED THAT THE SECRETARY'S LETTER  
IDENTIFIED ONE CASE AS PARTICULARLY DANGEROUS BUT DID  
NOT ASK THE FRG TO REFRAIN FROM THE SALE. I RESPONDED  
THAT US WOULD OBVIOUSLY BE PLEASED IF FRG, AFTER  
REVIEWING THE SITUATION AS THE SECRETARY REQUESTED, DID  
CONCLUDE THAT THE TRANSACTION WOULD NOT BE APPROPRIATE.  
HERMES BELIEVED THAT THE SECRETARY'S LETTER, TOGETHER  
WITH THE HEARINGS, SHOULD BE VIEWED AS A RESPONSE TO

SECRET

NNN

SECRET

PAGE 01 BONN 04187 02 OF 02 121428Z

42

ACTION SS-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00 /026 W

----- 109944

O R 121418Z MAR 76

FM AMEMBASSY BONN

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 7178

INFO AMEMBASSY ISLAMABAD

AMEMBASSY OTTAWA

AMEMBASSY PARIS  
AMEMBASSY TEHRAN

S E C R E T SECTION 02 OF 02 BONN 04187

EXDIS

PRESSURE ON THE ADMINISTRATION. I DENIED THIS AND RE-EMPHASIZED THE SERIOUS CONCERN WITH WHICH USG VIEWS TRANSFERS OF SENSITIVE NUCLEAR EQUIPMENT TO SENSITIVE COUNTRIES WITHOUT ECONOMIC NEED FOR THESE FACILITIES. I ASSUMED THAT THE FRG SHARED OUR POLITICAL ASSESSMENT OF PAKISTAN MOTIVATIONS AND THE DANGER POSED BY ITS DEVELOPMENT OF A NATIONAL NUCLEAR WEAPONS CAPABILITY. HERMES DID NOT RESPOND BUT CLEARLY AGREED ON THIS POINT, AT LEAST.

4. HERMES SUGGESTED THAT SECRETARY'S LETTER, WITH ITS IMPLICATION THAT THE GUIDELINES DO NOT PROVIDE ADEQUATE GUIDANCE, MIGHT LEAD SOME STATES TO QUESTION WHETHER GUIDELINES HAD CONTINUED VALIDITY. I EMPHASIZED THAT THE SECRETARY'S LETTER DID NOT RPT NOT CALL GUIDELINES INTO QUESTION IN ANY WAY. THE GUIDELINES THEMSELVES PROVIDE FOR SUPPLIER RESTRAINT IN THE EXPORT OF SENSITIVE FACILITIES. DR. ROUGET, ACCOMPANYING HERMES, SUGGESTED THAT HEAVY WATER PLANTS WERE NOT "SENSITIVE EXPORTS" UNDER THE GUIDELINES, BUT HERMES DID NOT PICK UP THIS POINT FOR DISCUSSION.

5. HERMES ASSURED ME THAT GENSCHER WOULD RESPOND AS SOON AS HE HAD CONSIDERED THE SECRETARY'S LETTER.

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 BONN 04187 02 OF 02 121428Z

HILLENBRAND

SECRET

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** Z  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** NUCLEAR ENERGY, NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS, NUCLEAR SAFEGUARDS, TECHNOLOGICAL EXCHANGES, DIPLOMATIC COMMUNICATIONS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 12 MAR 1976  
**Decaption Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Decaption Note:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** BoyleJA  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1976BONN04187  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** X3  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D760094-0365  
**From:** BONN  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1976/newtext/t19760368/aaaachwu.tel  
**Line Count:** 153  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ACTION SS  
**Original Classification:** SECRET  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** EXDIS  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** SECRET  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** EXDIS  
**Reference:** 76 STATE 59654, 76 STATE 38095, 76 BONN 2879  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** BoyleJA  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 27 OCT 2003  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <27 OCT 2003 by GarlanWA>; APPROVED <02 AUG 2004 by BoyleJA>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
04 MAY 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** PAKISTANI NUCLEAR FACILITIES  
**TAGS:** PARM, TECH, PK, GE  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006